

# **Julian Community Planning Group**

**May 9, 2011**

## **Regular Meeting Minutes**

**Final**

**Call to order:** Shelver called for order at 7:10

**1. Roll call of members:** Shelver ( p ), Barnes ( p ), Bryan ( p ), Birdsell(e). Brown ( p ), Moretti ( p ), Mushet ( p ), Redding ( p ), Rikansrud ( p ), Verdugo ( p ).  
P-Present, E-Excused, U-Unexcused

**2. Review and approval of current agenda:** Moved item 6A to be reviewed after 7B.  
Withdrew Item 7 B2 from Agenda. M/S/C with changes (Redding Barnes).

**3. Review and approval of the minutes of the April 11, 2011 meeting:** M/S/C to approve the Minutes as presented (Barnes, Redding) Abstain- Mushet.

**4. Opportunity for Public Comment (non-agenda items):** None

**5. Old Business:** None

### **6. New Business:**

**A) Action on State Septic tank rules:** Redding and Rikansrud attended the Water Boards- Onsight Wastewater Treatment System Policy (OWTS) meeting in Riverside. General Project Framework: The OWTS Policy relies on implementation primarily by local agencies, with support by the Regional Water Boards. Currently there are four regulatory tiers proposed as follows: Tier Zero is for most existing OWTS. Tier One is for new or replaced low-risk OWTS. Tier Two is for new or replaced OWTS covered by a local agency management plan, and Tier Three is for OWTS that need corrective action. The four tiers will be implemented by general conditional waivers of waste discharge requirements contained in the Policy that automatically cover all OWTS within each tier. New and replace OWTS receiving large discharge volumes and/or high-strength wastes will be covered by other waivers of waste discharge requirements or waste discharge requirements. It should be noted that this statement was taken from the hand out at the meeting. In addition, they reported that they have not established policy on a lot of issues. The State has mandated that they come up with a program/policy for OWTS. The next meeting will be on Sept. 11, 2011. This item will be placed on the June's agenda after the group has had a chance to read the hand outs that Redding and Rikansrud presented. No action taken.

### **7. Standing and Ad-Hoc Committee Reports:**

**A) General Plan Update:** At the last Board of Supervisors meeting, the County Staff had presented their positions on the GP Update. Diane Jacobs moved to have the 80 acre lots returned to 40 and it passed approval by the other members of the Board. The Updates have now been passed back to the County Staff to implement the changes the B of S's recommended.

#### **B) Land Use**

##### **1) 3100-5312 TM 5312 Hoskings Ranch Sudivision – Replacement Map:**

Dave Petticord (representing Hoskings owners) and Mark Thompson of TRS Consultants were present. Shelver reported that he had contacted the County to have a staff member up to help with this and they pulled out at the last minute. The Land Use Committee met. They looked over the maps and presented these concerns and requests for more information. These were passed onto the County and Hoskings representatives for response. Concern 1) Open Space Easement.

2) Widening of Pine Hills Road with fragmented Bike Lanes. 3) Egress and Ingress from Pine Hills Road. 4) Secondary access. Request for the following information: 1) What are the requirements, restrictions, and language of the open space easements? 2) A copy of the traffic study for Pine Hills Road, specifically addressing the site distances and fragmented bike lanes. 3) An explanation of why Orinoco Road is not to be utilized as secondary fire access rather than burdening contiguous property owners. 4) What are sections 81.401 (H) and 81.401 (I) and why are they requesting exceptions? 5) What are (is) the fire mitigation for the boundary of the subdivision (they request 100 feet defensible space around building sites, however there is no fire mitigation measures between the open space and contiguous properties. 6) There have been specific request to have building sites out of view from Highway 78/79, however the fire station lost is very visible. Is there a better location in the subdivision to mitigate this that will have little effect on response time?

After much discussion from JCPG with the Reps. over the safety of the proposed Tenaya Rd that turns onto Pine Hills Rd., the Group would like to see it moved down about 300 feet south, the Rep.s took it under advisement and were going to research that prospect.

Another matter that was very important to the group was rather grazing would be allowed in the open space for fire prevention and with what means could the brush be cleared. This seemed to be more of a question that County Staff would have to answer and the vague wording in their initial response for open space allowances didn't satisfy the group. It was also voiced that members would like them to focus more on the Orinoco Rd and to have it paved for better access, as a secondary fire road and to unburden the Tenaya Rd. access. One other item of concern was to strike the option of the Paine Bottom (Eagle Peak) access for a secondary fire road. It not only burdens private property owners it will most likely be the direction the fire is coming from and would be an unsafe option.

A Motion was made for the Land Use Committee to again draft a letter to County Staff (Dennis Campbell) and Hoskings Representatives for action on these concerns. This item will be continued to the June Agenda with another request for all named to be present. M/S/C (Barnes/Redding)

**2) CAL-PAC Conference MUP MOD, Camp Cedar Glen:** Shelver will place this item on the June Agenda. No one present and they weren't ready.

**C) San Dieguito River Valley Park Citizens Advisory Committee – Jack Shelver:**  
None

**8. County correspondence and Chairs report:** Nothing

**9. Items requiring action before next meeting (not covered above):** Nothing

**10. Submission of Planning Group expenses for reimbursement:**

**Jack Shelver – Post Office Rent, \$100.00** M/S/C to have Shelver reimbursed.  
(Rikansrud/Redding)

**12. Adjournment** – Since there was no further business before the group the meeting was adjourned at 9:40 M/S/C (Redding/Rikansrud)

Respectfully submitted by,

Vicky Vedova-Bryan